Library

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 SKAGIT RIVER STEEL SUPPLY & JUNK COMPANY, 4 PCHB No. 844 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, v. 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER NORTHWEST AIR POLLUTION 7 AUTHORITY, 8 Respondent. 9

open burning violation having come on regularly for an informal hearing before Board member Walt Woodward on the 6th day of October, 1975, at Mount Vernon, Washington, and appellant Skagit River Steel Supply and Junk Company appearing through its president, Leo P. Urbick, and respondent Northwest Air Pollution Authority appearing through its attorney, Glenn Reed, and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, the exhibits, records and files herein and the presiding officer having entered on the 14th day of October, 1975, a proposed

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and the Board having 1 served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties 2 herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having 3 elapsed from said service; and The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, 5 Conclusions and Order and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 6 now therefore, 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 8 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order dated the 14th day of 9 October, 1975, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 10 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 11 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 12 DATED this 19 day of December, 1975. 13 14 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 15 CHRIS SMITH, Chairman 1.6 17 18 19 20 212.2 2324

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

25

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF SKAGIT RIVER STEEL SUPPLY & JUNK COMPANY, 4 PCHE No. 844 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, 6 V. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER NORTHWEST AIR POLLUTION 7 AUTHORITY. 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for an alleged open burning violation of respondent's regulations, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer) at an informal hearing in the council chambers of the City Hall, Mount Vernon, on October 6, 1975.

Appellant was represented by its president, Leo P. Urbick; respondent appeared through Glenn Reed. Jennifer Rowland, Olympia court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

Exhibit A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

From testimony heard, exhibits examined and record reviewed, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Section 131.1 of respondent's regulations authorizes respondent's control officer to serve a written notice of violation for any infraction of the regulations. Section 131.21 requires alleged violators to respond in writing concerning corrective action within ten days of the notice of violation. Section 133.1 authorizes respondent to levy a civil penalty of not more than \$250 for any violation of the regulations. Section 501.22 makes it unlawful to cause or allow an outdoor fire containing rubber products.

II.

This matter involves an incident at appellant's place of business at 1265 S. Anacortes Street, Burlington, Skagit County, in the late forenoon of April 9, 1975. This disparate testimony was given:

An inspector on respondent's staff said he saw from one-quarter mile away a plume of black smoke of about 60 percent opacity rising 300 feet in the air from appellant's property. He said the smoke came from a burning automobile tire and two pieces of burning automobile rubber hose apparently ignited by one of appellant's workmen who was cutting an automobile body for scrap metal. The inspector said he saw no fire-fighting equipment and that the workman stepped on the burning material when the inspector said the fire should be extinguished.

The workman said he saw no fire until the inspector pointed out that a small rubber grommet which had just fallen to the ground from

FINDINGS OF FACT,

the car body was smoldering. The workman said the fire was no more than two inches square, that its smoke was dispersed after rising one foot and that he was able to extinguish the fire by stepping on the grommet. He denied an automobile tire and rubber hoses were ignited. He said adequate fire-fighting equipment was quickly obtainable from a hose connected to a water standpipe 20 feet away.

Appellant, who was not present at the time and place of the above incident, said his employees are instructed to remove tires before cutting car bodies; he said the tires are hauled to a dump.

From all of the above, the Pollution Control Hearings Board finds as facts that (a) a small outdoor fire of rubber material burned for a short period of time at appellant's property at 1265 South Anacortes Street, Burlington, Skagit County, on April 9, 1975; (b) fire-fighting equipment was readily available.

III.

In connection with the above incident, respondent served on appellant Notice of Violation No. 509, citing Section 501.22 of respondent's regulations, and Notice of Imposition of Penalty dated April 17, 1975, in the amount of \$250, \$200 of which was suspended. The \$50 imposition is the subject of this appeal.

IV.

On April 11, 1975, appellant, in writing to respondent, met the terms of Section 131.21 of respondent's regulations.

v.

Resolution No. 11 of respondent's board of directors recommends for the first written open burning notice of violation that a

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

"commercial-industrial" violator be given a civil penalty ranging from \$25 suspended to \$250 not suspended "depending on ragnitude of violation."

VI.

Notice of Violation No. 509 was the first such notice served on appellant by respondent. Appellant has been in business in Burlington for 17 years. Respondent has no other record of violation against appellant.

VII.

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited that is deemed to be a Finding of Fact is adopted herewith as same.

From these facts, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Appellant was in violation of Section 501.22 of respondent's regulations as cited in Notice of Violation No. 509.

II.

While the Notice of Imposition of Penalty dated April 17, 1975 is in an amount which is one-fifth of the maximum allowable amount under Section 133.1 of respondent's regulations, further leniency is indicated because the "magnitude of violation" was minimal, because fire-fighting equipment was readily available and because no previous record of violation stands against appellant which has been in business in the area for almost two decades.

FINDINGS OF FACT,

7 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

III. Any Finding of Fact herein that is deemed to be a Conclusion of Law is adopted herewith as same. Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER The appeal is denied, but the \$50 penalty imposition is suspended pending no similar violation for a period of six months from the date this Order becomes final. DONE at Lacey, Washington, this ______ day of October, 1975. FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER